

Hidden-charm scalar tetra-quark mesons

Kunihiko Terasaki

Yukawa Institute for Theoretical Physics, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan
Institute for Theoretical Physics, Kanazawa University, Kanazawa 920-1192, Japan

Decays of hidden-charm scalar four-quark mesons as the partners of $D_{s0}^+(2317)$ which has successfully been assigned to the iso-triplet four-quark scalar $\hat{F}_I^+ \sim [cn][\bar{s}\bar{n}]_{I=1}$ meson are studied. Because OZI-rule allowed strong decays are kinematically limited, their radiative decays are expected to be important.

After the observation of $D_{s0}^+(2317)$ [1] and $X(3872)$ [2], tetra-quark mesons (including meson-meson molecules) are attracting general interests [3]. Under this circumstance, we have proposed that (i) $D_{s0}^+(2317)$ is an iso-triplet tetra-quark scalar meson [4] and (ii) $X(3872)$ consists of two axial-vector meson states with (approximately) degenerate masses and opposite G -parities, which can be realized by tetra-quark system [5] without violating conservation of isospin and G -parity. As the next step, therefore, we study hidden-charm scalar tetra-quark mesons in this short note.

Before visiting hidden-charm scalar mesons, we here review very briefly four-quark meson states. First of all, they can be classified into the following four groups [6],

$$\{qq\bar{q}\bar{q}\} = [qq][\bar{q}\bar{q}] \oplus (qq)(\bar{q}\bar{q}) \oplus \{[qq](\bar{q}\bar{q}) \pm (qq)[\bar{q}\bar{q}]\}, \quad (1)$$

according to symmetry property of their flavor wavefunction, where parentheses and square brackets denote symmetry and anti-symmetry, respectively, under exchange of flavors between them. Each term in the right-hand side (r.h.s.) of Eq. (1) is again classified into two groups, because there are two ways to get a color-singlet tetra-quark $\{qq\bar{q}\bar{q}\}$ state, i.e., $\{qq\}$ (and $\{\bar{q}\bar{q}\}$) are of (i) $\bar{\mathbf{3}}_c$ (and $\mathbf{3}_c$), and (ii) $\mathbf{6}_c$ (and $\bar{\mathbf{6}}_c$), respectively, of color $SU_c(3)$. However, in the light sector ($q = u, d, s$), these states would largely mix with each other through gluon exchange, because QCD is non-perturbative at the energy scale of these meson masses. Putting aside the structure of color wavefunctions, the first term $[qq][\bar{q}\bar{q}]$ in the right-hand-side (r.h.s.) of Eq. (1) seems to be well realized by the observed scalar nonet, $\sigma(600)$, $a_0(980)$, $f_0(980)$ [7] and $\kappa(800)$ [8], as predicted in Ref. [6].

The above light $[qq][\bar{q}\bar{q}]$ mesons have been extended to open-charm $[cq][\bar{q}\bar{q}]$ mesons, and, as the result, the charm-strange $D_{s0}^+(2317)$ has been successfully assigned to the iso-triplet $\hat{F}_I^+ \sim [cn][\bar{s}\bar{n}]_{I=1}$ with $\bar{\mathbf{3}}_c \times \mathbf{3}_c$ as the lowest charm-strange scalar tetra-quark meson [4]. (Our notation of the open-charm scalar tetra-quark mesons is provided in Ref. [4].) With regard to the color configuration, the $\bar{\mathbf{3}}_c \times \mathbf{3}_c$ state would be lower than the $\mathbf{6}_c \times \bar{\mathbf{6}}_c$, because the forces between two quarks (and between two antiquarks) are attractive when they are of $\bar{\mathbf{3}}_c$ (and of $\mathbf{3}_c$), while the forces are repulsive when they are of $\mathbf{6}_c$ (and of $\bar{\mathbf{6}}_c$) [9]. However, mixings of the $\bar{\mathbf{3}}_c \times \mathbf{3}_c$ and $\mathbf{6}_c \times \bar{\mathbf{6}}_c$ states in open- and hidden-charm mesons are expected to be much smaller than that of the light sector, because QCD would be rather perturbative at the scale of open- and hidden-charm meson masses. With this assignment, we can naturally understand the observed narrow width and decay property of $D_{s0}^+(2317)$. In addition, it has been discussed that the other assignments are likely to be ruled out [10, 11, 12]. In our assignment of $D_{s0}^+(2317)$, we expect existence of its neutral and doubly charged partners. However, they have not yet been observed, although they have been searched for in inclusive e^+e^- annihilation experiment [13]. Nevertheless, this problem seems to be not serious, because their production in e^+e^- annihilation would be suppressed [12] in contrast with $D_{s0}^+(2317)$, and because it is expected that they could be observed in B decays [14].

$X(3872)$ has been observed in the $\pi^+\pi^-J/\psi$ [2] and $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0J/\psi$ [15] channels with opposite G -parities. With regard to its spin-parity, $J^P = 1^+$ is favored by its angular analysis [16]. This implies that $X(3872)$ consists of two (approximately) degenerate axial-vector meson states with different G -parities, as long as G -parity is conserved in these decays as in the well-known strong interactions. It has been observed that this can be realized by molecular states [17] based on a unitarized chiral model and also by hidden-charm tetra-quark mesons corresponding to the last two terms of the r.h.s. of Eq. (1) [5].

Extension of the above open-charm scalar four-quark mesons to hidden-charm ones is straightforward. Their flavor wavefunctions are listed in Table I. Their mass values are estimated very crudely by using a naive quark counting with $\Delta_{cs} = m_c - m_s \simeq m_{\eta_c} - m_{D_s} \simeq 1$ GeV and $\Delta_{sn} = m_s - m_n \simeq m_{D_s} - m_D \simeq 100$ MeV, and by taking $m_{\hat{F}_I} = m_{D_{s0}(2317)} = 2317$ MeV as the input data. Supposing that the above estimate of hidden-charm meson masses is not very far from the true ones, isospin conserving two-body decays which satisfy the OZI rule [18] would be limited. One of these decays is the $\hat{\delta}^c \rightarrow \eta_c \pi$, as seen in Table I. However, it is not obvious if $\hat{\sigma}^{sc}$ and $\hat{\kappa}^c$ can decay into the $\eta_c \eta$ and $\eta_c K$ final states, respectively, because the roughly estimated masses of $\hat{\sigma}^{sc}$ and $\hat{\kappa}^c$ are just below the corresponding thresholds. Even if these decays were kinematically allowed, their rates would be much smaller than the rate for $\hat{\delta}^c \rightarrow \eta_c \pi$, because of their small phase space volume.

TABLE I: Hidden-charm scalar mesons, their flavor wavefunctions, their crudely estimated mass values, their assumed two-body decay channels which conserve isospin and satisfy the OZI-rule, and their threshold energies.

Strangeness (S)	1	0		
$I = 1$		$\hat{\delta}^c \sim [nc][\bar{n}\bar{c}]_{I=1}$		
$I = \frac{1}{2}$	$\hat{\kappa}^c \sim [nc][\bar{s}\bar{c}]$			
$I = 0$			$\hat{\sigma}^c \sim [nc][\bar{c}\bar{n}]_{I=0}$	$\hat{\sigma}^{cs} \sim [sc][\bar{c}\bar{s}]$
Mass (GeV)	~ 3.4	~ 3.3	~ 3.3	~ 3.5
OZI-allowed Decay	$\eta_c K$	$\eta_c \pi$	$\eta_c \eta$	$\eta_c \eta$
Threshold (GeV)	3.48	3.12	3.53	3.53

The rate for the $A(\mathbf{p}) \rightarrow B(\mathbf{p}')\pi(\mathbf{q})$ decay is written in the form,

$$\Gamma(A \rightarrow B\pi) = \left(\frac{1}{2J_A + 1} \right) \left(\frac{q_c}{8\pi m_A^2} \right) \sum_{\text{spins}} |M(A \rightarrow B\pi)|^2, \quad (2)$$

where J_A , q_c and $M(A \rightarrow B\pi)$ are the spin of A , the momentum of the final particles in the rest frame of A , and the amplitude for the decay, respectively. To calculate the amplitude, we use the PCAC (partially conserved axial vector current) hypothesis and a hard pion approximation in the infinite momentum frame, i.e., $|\mathbf{p}| \rightarrow \infty$ [19, 20]. (This is an innovation of the old soft-pion technique.) In this approximation, the amplitude is evaluated as

$$M(A \rightarrow B\pi) \simeq \left(\frac{m_A^2 - m_B^2}{f_\pi} \right) \langle B | A_\pi | A \rangle, \quad (3)$$

at a slightly unphysical point, i.e., $m_\pi^2 \rightarrow 0$, where A_π is the axial counterpart of isospin. The *asymptotic matrix element* of A_π (matrix element of A_π taken between single hadron states with infinite momentum), $\langle B | A_\pi | A \rangle$, gives the dimensionless coupling strength.

Now we study the $\hat{\delta}^c \rightarrow \eta_c \pi$ decay by comparing with the $\hat{F}_I^+ \rightarrow D_s^+ \pi^0$. To this aim, we relate asymptotic matrix elements of A_π between hidden-charm meson states to those between open-charm meson states, for example, $\langle D_s^+ | A_{\pi^0} | \hat{F}_I^+ \rangle$ by using the asymptotic flavor $SU_f(4)$ symmetry (the $SU_f(4)$ symmetry of asymptotic matrix elements),

$$\langle \eta_c | A_{\pi^-} | \hat{\delta}^{c+} \rangle = \sqrt{2} \langle \eta_c | A_{\pi^0} | \hat{\delta}^{c0} \rangle = \langle \eta_c | A_{\pi^+} | \hat{\delta}^{c-} \rangle = -\sqrt{2} \langle \eta_c | A_{\eta^s} | \hat{\sigma}^{sc} \rangle = \sqrt{2} \langle D_s^+ | A_{\pi^0} | \hat{F}_I^+ \rangle. \quad (4)$$

In Eq. (4), we have assumed that energy scale dependence of wavefunction overlap is mild around and above the scale of open-charm meson mass, although it was drastic between the mass scales of the light tetra-quark mesons and the open-charm ones [10, 11]. It is because the quark-gluon coupling would be (rather) perturbative in the region of the energy scale of open-charm tetra-quark meson mass and beyond, while non-perturbative at the scale of light meson masses. The last matrix element in Eq. (4) has been estimated to be $|\langle D_s^+ | A_{\pi^0} | \hat{F}_I^+ \rangle|_{SU_f(4)} \sim 0.09 - 0.13$ from $\Gamma(\hat{F}_I^+ \rightarrow D_s^+ \pi^0)_{SU_f(4)} \simeq 5 - 10$ MeV in Refs. [10, 11]. Adopting the above value of the asymptotic matrix element as the input data, we obtain

$$\Gamma(\hat{\delta}^c \rightarrow \eta_c \pi)_{SU_f(4)} \sim 5 - 10 \text{ MeV}, \quad (5)$$

where the overlap of spatial wavefunctions is still in the $SU_f(4)$ symmetry limit. However, it is not yet known how to estimate deviation of the overlap from its $SU_f(4)$ symmetry limit in the case of hidden-charm mesons, although such a deviation in the case of open-charm mesons has been estimated [10, 11, 21].

The other two-body decays of hidden-charm mesons which are kinematically allowed would be OZI-rule forbidden, as long as our estimate of their masses is not very far from the true ones. Therefore, to search for hidden-charm scalar tetra-quark mesons, their radiative decays would be important. We study them under the vector meson dominance (VMD) hypothesis in the same way as the open-charm scalar mesons which have been studied in Refs. [10, 11]. The amplitude for the radiative $S \rightarrow V\gamma$ decay is written in the form

$$M(S \rightarrow V\gamma) = F_{\mu\nu}(\gamma) G^{\mu\nu}(V) A(S \rightarrow V\gamma), \quad (6)$$

where S , $F_{\mu\nu}$ and $G_{\mu\nu}$ denote the parent scalar meson, field strengths of photon (γ) and vector meson (V), respectively. The amplitude for $S \rightarrow V\gamma$ decay is given by

$$A(S \rightarrow V\gamma) = \sum_{V'=\rho, \omega, \phi, \psi} \frac{X_{V'}(0)}{m_{V'}^2} A(S \rightarrow VV') \quad (7)$$

TABLE II: Rates for radiative decays of hidden-charm scalar mesons.

Decay	Pole	Rate (in MeV)
$\hat{\kappa}^c \rightarrow K^{*}\gamma$	ψ	~ 0.23
$\hat{\delta}^c \rightarrow \rho\gamma$	ψ	~ 0.22
$\hat{\delta}^c \rightarrow \psi\gamma$	ρ^0	~ 0.069
$\hat{\sigma}^c \rightarrow \omega\gamma$	ψ	~ 0.22
$\hat{\sigma}^c \rightarrow \psi\gamma$	ω	~ 0.007
$\hat{\sigma}^{sc} \rightarrow \phi\gamma$	ψ	~ 0.24
$\hat{\sigma}^{sc} \rightarrow \psi\gamma$	ϕ	~ 0.040

under the VMD, where $X_V(0)$ is the γV coupling strength on the photon-mass-shell and $A(S \rightarrow VV')$ is the SVV' coupling strength. The values of $X_V(0)$ have been provided as $X_\rho = 0.033 \pm 0.003$, $X_\omega = 0.011 \pm 0.001$, $X_\phi = -0.018 \pm 0.004$, $X_\psi = 0.051 \pm 0.012$, in Ref. [22]. The OZI-rule selects a possible vector meson which couples to a photon.

Related $SU_f(4)$ relation among SVV' coupling strengths is given by

$$\begin{aligned} A(\hat{\kappa}^{c+} \rightarrow K^{*+}\psi) &= A(\hat{\kappa}^{c0} \rightarrow K^{*0}\psi) = A(\hat{\delta}^{c+} \rightarrow \rho^+\psi) = A(\hat{\delta}^{c0} \rightarrow \rho^0\psi) \\ &= -A(\hat{\sigma}^{c+} \rightarrow \omega\psi) = -A(\hat{\sigma}^{sc+} \rightarrow \phi\psi) = A(\hat{\delta}^{s0} \rightarrow \rho^0\phi)\beta_1, \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where β_1 is a parameter which provides an overlap of color and spin wavefunctions at the scale of hidden-charm meson mass. Here we take $|\beta_1| \simeq 1/2$ at the scale of charmed tetra-quark meson mass [10, 11], because the quark-gluon coupling is expected to be (rather) perturbative (around and) above the scale of mass of open-charm mesons. At this stage, the spatial wavefunction overlap is assumed to be in the $SU_f(4)$ symmetry limit, as in the above hadronic decays. The last coupling strength in Eq. (8) is estimated to be [10, 11]

$$|A(\hat{\delta}^{s0} \rightarrow \rho^0\phi)| \simeq 0.02 \text{ (MeV)}^{-1} \quad (9)$$

from the measured rate [7], $\Gamma(\phi \rightarrow a_0(980)\gamma)_{\text{exp}} = 0.32 \pm 0.03 \text{ keV}$.

Inserting Eq. (6) with Eq. (8) into Eq. (2), using the values of $X_V(0)$ listed above and adopting Eq. (9) as the input data, we can estimate rates for radiative decays of hidden-charm scalar mesons. The results are listed in Table II. As seen in the table, the rates for decays including ψ in the final state are small because of their small phase space volumes. In particular, the $\hat{\sigma}^c \rightarrow \psi\gamma$ decay is more strongly suppressed due to the small $\gamma\omega$ coupling. Therefore, $\hat{\sigma}^c$ decays dominantly into the $\gamma\omega$ final state, and the $\hat{\sigma}^c \rightarrow \psi\gamma$ decay might not disturb the $\chi_{c0} \rightarrow \psi\gamma$ decay, even if the true mass of $\hat{\delta}^c$ were close to that of χ_{c0} . Our results are quite different from those of a typical unitarized chiral model [23] with the same theoretical basis as the one [17] mentioned before. It is because, in this type of chiral model, the non-loop contribution, for example, $X(3700) \rightarrow \psi\omega \rightarrow \gamma\omega$, where “ ψ ” means a virtual ψ , has not been considered, in contrast with the present picture in which the corresponding $\hat{\sigma}^c \rightarrow \psi\omega \rightarrow \gamma\omega$ is dominant in $\hat{\sigma}^c$ decays as discussed above.

So far we have assumed intuitively that $D_{s0}^+(2317)$ is the $I_3 = 0$ component of $[cn][\bar{s}\bar{n}]_{I=1}$ with $\bar{\mathbf{3}}_c \times \mathbf{3}_c$, because the forces between two quarks (and two antiquarks) are attractive when they are of $\bar{\mathbf{3}}_c$ (and $\mathbf{3}_c$). Under this assumption, we have understood its narrow width and its decay property [10, 11]. Therefore, the low lying hidden-charm meson are expected to be of $\bar{\mathbf{3}}_c \times \mathbf{3}_c$. To confirm that our intuitive assumption is feasible, two photon collision experiment would be useful. To see this, we denote the $I = 1$ hidden-charm scalar meson with $\mathbf{6}_c \times \bar{\mathbf{6}}_c$ as $\hat{\delta}^{c*}$, and decompose $\hat{\delta}^c$ and $\hat{\delta}^{c*}$ as

$$\begin{aligned} |\hat{\delta}^c\rangle &= |[cn]_{\bar{\mathbf{3}}_c}^{\mathbf{1}_s}[\bar{c}\bar{n}]_{\mathbf{3}_c}^{\mathbf{1}_s}]_{\mathbf{1}_c}^{\mathbf{1}_s} = -\sqrt{\frac{1}{4}} \times \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}} |\{c\bar{c}\}_{\mathbf{1}_c}^{\mathbf{1}_s}\{n\bar{n}\}_{\mathbf{1}_c}^{\mathbf{1}_s}]_{\mathbf{1}_c}^{\mathbf{1}_s} + \sqrt{\frac{3}{4}} \times \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}} |\{c\bar{c}\}_{\mathbf{1}_c}^{\mathbf{3}_s}\{n\bar{n}\}_{\mathbf{1}_c}^{\mathbf{3}_s}]_{\mathbf{1}_c}^{\mathbf{1}_s} \\ &\quad -\sqrt{\frac{1}{4}} \times \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} |\{c\bar{c}\}_{\mathbf{8}_c}^{\mathbf{1}_s}\{n\bar{n}\}_{\mathbf{8}_c}^{\mathbf{1}_s}]_{\mathbf{1}_c}^{\mathbf{1}_s} + \sqrt{\frac{3}{4}} \times \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} |\{c\bar{c}\}_{\mathbf{8}_c}^{\mathbf{3}_s}\{n\bar{n}\}_{\mathbf{8}_c}^{\mathbf{3}_s}]_{\mathbf{1}_c}^{\mathbf{1}_s} \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |\hat{\delta}^{c*}\rangle &= |[cn]_{\mathbf{6}_c}^{\mathbf{3}_s}[\bar{c}\bar{n}]_{\bar{\mathbf{6}}_c}^{\mathbf{3}_s}]_{\mathbf{1}_c}^{\mathbf{1}_s} = -\sqrt{\frac{3}{4}} \times \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} |\{c\bar{c}\}_{\mathbf{1}_c}^{\mathbf{1}_s}\{n\bar{n}\}_{\mathbf{1}_c}^{\mathbf{1}_s}]_{\mathbf{1}_c}^{\mathbf{1}_s} + \sqrt{\frac{1}{4}} \times \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} |\{c\bar{c}\}_{\mathbf{1}_c}^{\mathbf{3}_s}\{n\bar{n}\}_{\mathbf{1}_c}^{\mathbf{3}_s}]_{\mathbf{1}_c}^{\mathbf{1}_s} \\ &\quad -\sqrt{\frac{3}{4}} \times \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}} |\{c\bar{c}\}_{\mathbf{8}_c}^{\mathbf{1}_s}\{n\bar{n}\}_{\mathbf{8}_c}^{\mathbf{1}_s}]_{\mathbf{1}_c}^{\mathbf{1}_s} - \sqrt{\frac{1}{4}} \times \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}} |\{c\bar{c}\}_{\mathbf{8}_c}^{\mathbf{3}_s}\{n\bar{n}\}_{\mathbf{8}_c}^{\mathbf{3}_s}]_{\mathbf{1}_c}^{\mathbf{1}_s}, \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

respectively. From the above equations, it is seen that the overlap of color and spin wavefunctions between $\hat{\delta}^{c*}$ and $\eta_c\pi$ states is larger by a factor $\sqrt{6}$ than that between $\hat{\delta}^c$ and $\eta_c\pi$ states. Therefore, if the spatial wavefunction overlap is not very much different from each other in both cases, the rate for the $\hat{\delta}^{c*} \rightarrow \eta_c\pi$ decay would be larger by about a factor 6 or more than that for the $\hat{\delta}^c \rightarrow \eta_c\pi$, because it is assumed that $m_{\hat{\delta}^{c*}}$ is larger than $m_{\hat{\delta}^c}$. Therefore, it is expected that the cross section for the $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \eta_c\pi^0$ reaction would have a narrower ($\lesssim 10$ MeV) peak at $m_{\hat{\delta}^c} \sim 3.3$ GeV and another broader peak arising from $\hat{\delta}^{c*}$ at a higher energy, if both of $\hat{\delta}^c$ and $\hat{\delta}^{c*}$ exist and $m_{\hat{\delta}^{c*}} > m_{\hat{\delta}^c}$. If $m_{\hat{\delta}^{c*}} < m_{\hat{\delta}^c}$ in contrast with our intuitive expectation, we need more precise information of $m_{\hat{\delta}^{c*}}$, because the gap between $m_{\hat{\delta}^c}$ and the $\eta_c\pi$ threshold is not very large. If $m_{\eta_c} + m_\pi < m_{\hat{\delta}^{c*}} < m_{\hat{\delta}^c}$, the width of $\hat{\delta}^{c*}$ would be sensitive to $m_{\hat{\delta}^{c*}}$ and not necessarily broader than that of $\hat{\delta}^c$, because the phase space volume under consideration is sensitive to it. If the mass of $\hat{\delta}^{c*}$ is lower than $\eta_c\pi$ threshold, the cross section would have only a peak from $\hat{\delta}^c$ around and below $m_{\hat{\delta}^c}$.

In summary we have studied hidden-charm scalar $[cq][\bar{c}\bar{q}]$ mesons by extending the open-charm scalar $[cq][\bar{q}\bar{q}]$ mesons which have been studied previously and then investigated their isospin conserving two-body decay and radiative decays. The $\hat{\delta}^c \rightarrow \eta_c\pi$ decay will be only one two-body decay which conserves isospin and satisfies the OZI rule, if their estimated mass values are not very far from the true ones. Radiative decays of hidden-charm mesons also have been studied, because radiative channels would play an important role in search for the other hidden-charm scalar tetra-quark mesons.

To confirm our intuitive expectation that the mass of $[cn][\bar{c}\bar{n}]$ with $\bar{\mathbf{3}}_c \times \mathbf{3}_c$ is lower and its width is narrower than the corresponding ones with $\mathbf{6}_c \times \bar{\mathbf{6}}_c$, it is awaited that experiments measure the cross section for the $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \eta_c\pi$ reaction and find two peaks; one is around ~ 3.3 GeV and narrower, while the other is beyond it and broader.

-
- [1] B. Aubert et al., the Babar Collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett. **90**, 242001 (2003).
 - [2] S.-K. Choi et al., Belle Collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 26200 (2003).
 - [3] E. S. Swanson, Phys. Rep. **429**, 243 (2006), and references quoted therein.
 - [4] K. Terasaki, Phys. Rev. D **68**, 011501(R) (2003).
 - [5] K. Terasaki, Prog. Theor. Phys. **118**, 821 (2007); hep-ph/0706.3944.
 - [6] R. L. Jaffe, Phys. Rev. D **15**, 267 and 281 (1977).
 - [7] W.-M. Yao et al., the Particle Data Group, J. Phys. G **33**, 1 (2006), and references quoted therein.
 - [8] E. M. Aitala et al., the Fermilab E791 Collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 121801 (2002).
 - [9] M. Y. Han and Y. Nambu, Phys. Rev. **139**, B1006 (1965);
S. Hori, Prog. Theor. Phys. **36**, 131 (1966).
 - [10] A. Hayashigaki and K. Terasaki, Prog. Theor. Phys. **114**, 1191 (2005).
 - [11] K. Terasaki, hep-ph/0512285.
 - [12] K. Terasaki, hep-ph/0804.2295 (talk given at SCADRON70, the workshop on “Scalar Mesons and Related Topics”, 11 – 16 February 2008, IST-Lisbon, Lisbon, Portugal). In this article, the production mechanism of charm-strange tetra-quark mesons in Ref. [14] has been revised.
 - [13] B. Aubert et al., the Babar Collaboration, hep-ex/0604030.
 - [14] K. Terasaki, Prog. Theor. Phys. **116**, 435 (2006); hep-ph/0604207;
Eur. Phys. J. A **31**, 676 (2007); hep-ph/0704.3299.
 - [15] K. Abe et al., Belle Collaboration, hep-ex/0505037.
 - [16] K. Abe et al., Belle Collaboration, hep-ex/0505038.
 - [17] D. Gamermann and E. Oset, Eur. Phys. J. **A33**, 119 (2007).
 - [18] S. Okubo, Phys. Lett. **5**, 165 (1963);
G. Zweig, CERN Report No. TH401 (1964);
J. Iizuka, K. Okada and O. Shito, Prog. Theor. Phys. **35**, 1061 (1965).
 - [19] K. Terasaki, S. Oneda and T. Tanuma, Phys. Rev. D **29**, 456 (1984).
 - [20] S. Oneda and K. Terasaki, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. No. 82, 1 (1985) and references quoted therein.
 - [21] K. Terasaki and Bruce H J McKellar, Prog. Theor. Phys. **114**, 205 (2005).
 - [22] K. Terasaki, Lett. Nuovo Cimento **31**, 457 (1981); Il Nuovo Cimento **66A**, 475 (1981). The experimental data used in the above articles are now updated and the phase convention of ϕ and ψ is changed in the present article.
 - [23] D. Gamermann, L. R. Dai and E. Oset, Phys. Rev. C **76**, 055205 (2007).